

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/286,794 04/06/99 BAER M 28076/SV703A **EXAMINER** Г QM12/0816 MARSHALL O'TOOLE GERSTEIN MURRAY & BORUN BLOUNT . S 6300 SEARS TOWER PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 233 SOUTH WACKER DR CHICAGO IL 60606-6402 3726 **(** DATE MAILED: 08/16/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner Baer et al Examiner Group Art Unit
	Blount 3726
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de-	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS as a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
⊘ Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) /0-1/	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
_	
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are or	are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bjected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. abjected to by the Examiner. er. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are o☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are o☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copie. ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No. ☐ received in this national stage application from the	are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. isapproveddisapproved. abjected to by the Examiner. er. aty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). as of the priority documents have been aumber) a International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Is/are of The drawing(s) filed on ☐ Is/are of The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioritical Hall ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 1) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s	are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. isapproveddisapproved. abjected to by the Examiner. er. aty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). as of the priority documents have been aumber) a International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Is/are of The drawing(s) filed on ☐ Is/are of The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ Attachment(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. isapproveddisapproved. abjected to by the Examiner. er. aty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). as of the priority documents have been aumber) a International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected	are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. isapproveddisapproved. abjected to by the Examiner. er. aty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). as of the priority documents have been aumber) a International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Is/are of The drawing(s) filed on ☐ Is/are of The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ Attachment(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement. awing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. bijected to by the Examiner. er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). is of the priority documents have been umber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). international Patent Application, PTO-15.

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject which the applicant regards as their invention.

In claims 16 and 17, "the bearing assembly" lack antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either U.S. patent 3,575,531 to Buckingham or French patent 1.165.543 to Philips Gloeilampenfabrieken.

With regard to claim 10, Buckingham teaches assembling motor shaft 42 with shaft extension 46 and having a retainer (the member to the horizontal left (about an inch) of the end of the arrow which leads away from the numeral 40 in the figure) tightened onto the motor shaft, and having the second end of the shaft extension 46 installed into lower assembly 16.

Application/Control Number: 09286794

Art Unit: 3726

Philips teaches motor shaft 5 and its shaft extension 15 wherein the shaft and its extension have a retainer 7 tightened onto the shaft, and a second end of the shaft extension is installed into lower assembly 19/21/29.

With regard to claim 15, Buckingham teaches bearing 16, while Philips teaches the bearing at 29.

5. Claims 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. patent 3,575,531 to Buckingham.

With regard to claim 17, Buckingham teaches the invention as described in paragraph 2 above, including roller ball bearing members 14 (see column 2, lines 34+).

With regard to claim 14, Buckingham teaches the invention as described in paragraph 2 above. Note that member 44 of Buckingham can be considered to be an impeller.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 11, 12, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over either U.S. patent 3,575,531 to Buckingham or French patent 1.165.543 to Philips Gloeilampenfabrieken.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09286794

Art Unit: 3726

With regard to claims 11-12, Buckingham and Philips teach the invention as described in paragraph 2 above. Buckingham and Philips do not, however, teach the shaft extension to be either hexagonal, or square in its circumferential shape.

The shape of a shaft extension is a matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved or any new or unusual result achieved by having the shaft extension of the invention be square, hexagonal, or some other shape.

With regard to claim 13, Buckingham and Philips teach the invention as described in paragraph 2 above. Buckingham and Philips do not, however, teach the nut 7 (Philips) or the nut member to the left of the end of arrow 40 (Buckingham) to be threaded.

Official notice is taken that it is well known in the art to have nuts threaded internally.

With regard to claim 16, Buckingham and Philips teach the invention as described in paragraph 2 above. Buckingham and Philips do not, however, explicitly teach the bearing assembly to comprise a powdered metal bearing.

Official notice is taken that bearing materials are commonly comprised of powdered metals.

Contact Information

9. Official documents related to the instant application may be submitted to the Technology Center 3700 mail center by facsimile at (703) 305-3579/3580. Should Applicant desire to submit a DRAFT response to the Examiner by facsimile transmission, then Applicant should contact the Examiner at the number below for instructions concerning the transmission of DRAFT documents. Applicant is reminded to clearly mark any facsimile transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response.

Application/Control Number: 09286794

Art Unit: 3726

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Steven Blount at telephone number (703) 305-0319.

S. THOMAS HUGHES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

¥ sb

August 14, 2000